

Recent / ongoing activities in ICON-NWP development







Günther Zängl

on behalf of the DWD ICON development team

WGNE Meeting, 10/11/2022





- Enhance EPS resolution from 40/20 km to 26/13 km while keeping the deterministic configuration at 13/6.5 km
- Increase number of vertical levels from 90 to 120 (60 to 74 in EU-nest) in DET and EPS, placing the majority of the additional levels in the stratosphere
- This will be combined with using higher-resolved orography data (3' instead of 30'') and model-DA coupling for surface friction (see part 2 of this talk)
- The higher EPS resolution turns out to have a slight beneficial impact on the deterministic analyses due to the higher resolution of the ensemble B-matrix
- Subsequent slides: Verification results for autumn/winter period (Oct 15 Dec 31 2020); relative changes w.r.t. operational configuration
- → Will become operational on 23 November 2022



Scorecard DET radiosonde verification

5 10-10 -5

5 10-10 -5

10-10 -5 15 10-10

redOfVar

5 10-10 -5

10 .5

10-10 .5



Verification period: 2020/10/20 - 2021/01/08 Data selection by initial-date Reduction of RMSE [%] CEU ALL NH TR SH CDE NA. SA AS SP AF AA Relative change of RMSE (scale: 10%) p-level (hPa) Test935 vs Test918 풆 better worse

> 10-10 -5

5 10-10 -5

5 10-10 -5 5 10-10 -5 5 10-10 -5

5 10

Scorecard EPS radiosonde verification



Verification period: 2020/10/20 - 2021/01/08 Data selection by initial-date change in CRPSF [%]

p-level (hPa)





- Near-surface model biases are strongly affected by uncertain physical properties of vegetation and soil (e.g. stomata resistance, heat conductivity) as well as model tuning parameters
- Physical properties are usually derived from external parameter data (land-cover and soil-type classification, ...), which may not cover the full heterogeneity that exists in nature
- This typically leads to ambivalent results when trying to tune parameters (better in some regions, worse in others)
- At DWD, we developed a methodology to use information from data assimilation (DA) to adaptively optimize uncertain parameters (internally referred to as model-DA coupling)

Special acknowledgements to Harald Anlauf and Christine Sgoff for related work in data assimilation



See presentation given at the Systematic Error Workshop for more details



- Forecast variables targeted for adaptive optimization: T2M, RH2M, FF10M
- Time-filtered data assimilation increments for temperature, humidity and wind speed at the lowest model level are used as proxies for the model bias / predictors for adaptive optimization (filtering time scale 2.5 days)
- → This obviously requires assimilation of T2M, RH2M and FF10M data

Remarks

- The adaptive optimization of T2M was put into operations together with the assimilation of T2M (previous attempts of T2M assimilation were not successful)
- ➔ In regions where FF10M observations are blacklisted for assimilation, the adaptive tuning of surface friction needs to be turned off as well



Model parameters selected for adaptive optimization

- → T2M/RH2M: stomata resistance of plants, minimum evaporation resistance of bare soil, LAI and root depth (transitional seasons only)
- T2M diurnal amplitude: soil heat capacity, heat conductivities of soil and skin layer, (under testing) near-surface profiles of minimum vertical diffusion coefficient
- → T2M in the presence of snow cover: snow albedo
- FF10M: vegetation roughness length, SSO blocking tendency at lowest model level







- T2M assimilation and the related elements of model-DA coupling were operationalized in May 2022
- → Adaptive surface friction will follow in late November 2022 in combination with new (higher resolution) raw data for orography and a resolution upgrade (40 → 26 km for EPS, 90 → 120 levels for DET and EPS)
- To demonstrate the isolated effect of model-DA coupling on forecast skill, an experiment for autumn 2020 was repeated without coupling
- In addition, results for the preparatory (parallel routine) phases for the above-mentioned upgrade steps will be shown



Scorecard for SYNOP verification, T2M assimilation and related coupling

DWD **Deutscher Wetterdienst** 6 Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

Forecasts initialized from 2020/10/20 to 2020/12/31 Reduction of RMSE [%], INI; 00, 12UTC, SIGTEST: TRUE

Test1030E better Test948 better Significance



Scorecard for SYNOP verification, adaptive surface friction and orography+resolution upgrade

DWD **Deutscher Wetterdienst** (ດ) Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand



Scorecard for SYNOP verification, benefit from full model-DA coupling when starting from same analyses Forecasts initialized from 2020/10/20 to 2020/11/13 Reduction of RMSE [%], INI; 00, 12UTC, SIGTEST: TRUE

Deutscher Wetterdienst 6 Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

DWD

Significance 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 Test1178 better Test1179 better



Radiosonde verification, Verification period: 2020/10/20 - 2020/11/13 NH, Europe, North America, Asia





filled boxes: significant at 95% level

The score improvements in the lower troposphere Test1178 vs Test1179 give confidence that the model-DA coupling corrects true biases, not representativity errors of surface stations

5.0

2.5 0.0

-2.5 -5.0